

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of North Carolina

United States of America

v.

Salvador Martinez-Yanez

Date of Original Judgment: 09/25/2018

Date of Previous Amended Judgment: _____

(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Any)

Case No: 0419 3:17CR00014-003USM No: 33365-058

Pro Se

Defendant's Attorney

**ORDER REGARDING MOTION FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)**

Upon motion of ☒ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☐ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

☒ DENIED. ☐ GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of _____ months **is reduced to** _____.

(See Page 2 for additional parts. Complete Parts I and II of Page 2 when motion is granted)

Except as otherwise provided, all provisions of the judgment dated 10/18/2018, shall remain in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed: February 8, 2024

Effective Date: _____

(if different from order date)



Frank D. Whitney
United States District Judge

This page contains information that should not be filed in court unless under seal.
(Not for Public Disclosure)

DEFENDANT: Salvador Martinez-Yanez
CASE NUMBER: 0419 3:17CR00014
DISTRICT: Western District of North Carolina

I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (*Prior to Any Departures*)

Previous Total Offense Level: 33 Amended Total Offense Level: _____
Criminal History Category: II Criminal History Category: _____
Previous Guideline Range: 151 to 188 months Amended Guideline Range: _____ to _____ months

II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO THE AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE

- ☐ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.
- ☐ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a substantial assistance departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.
- ☐ The reduced sentence is above the amended guideline range.

III. FACTORS CONSIDERED UNDER USSG § 1B1.10 AND 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) (*See Chavez-Meza v. United States, 138 S.Ct. 1959 (2018)*)

Because “status points” were not applied in this defendant’s calculations, nor is the defendant a zero-point offender who meets the criteria at §4C1.1, Amendment 821 is not applicable in this case.